

---

## FEATURES

---

# Did Bush Voters Cause Obama's Victory?

Arthur Lupia, *University of Michigan*

**ABSTRACT** In the 2008 election, Barack Obama's campaign brought many new voters to the polls. Were these new voters necessary for Obama's victory? In this study, I find that they were not. The basis of this finding is an examination of decisions made by people who voted for George W. Bush in 2004. I show that Bush voters' decisions not to vote or to support Obama were a sufficient condition for Obama's victory.

**W**hy did Barack Obama win the presidential election of 2008? Many factors contributed to this result. One factor, which has received much attention, was the large number of new voters that his campaign brought to the polls. On the day after the election, for example, a front-page story on the *Wall Street Journal* reported:

Sen. Barack Obama was elected the nation's first African-American president, defeating Sen. John McCain decisively Tuesday as citizens surged to the polls in a presidential race that climaxed amid the worst financial crisis since the Great Depression. . . . In total, voter registration numbers were up 7.3% compared with the last presidential election, for a total of 153 million eligible voters. (Weisman and Meckler 2008)

Similar stories were told by media outlets across the world. Indeed, a central part of the media's fascination with the Obama campaign was its ability to energize new voters.

Over 69 million Americans cast votes for Obama in the November election. This number is over 10 million more than the number who voted for fellow Democrat John Kerry four years earlier. Obama's success in attracting new voters was a visible component of his 2008 victory. But did these new voters cause Obama to win the election? If the answer to this question seems obvious, consider a simple counterfactual: suppose that the Obama campaign lured no new voters to the polls in November 2008.

Specifically, suppose that in 2008, Barack Obama earned the same number of votes that Kerry received in 2004, but not a single vote more. Suppose, moreover, that all of these votes originated from the state in which they were originally cast. At the same time, suppose that John McCain retained all of the votes that he earned in the 2008 general election. Who would be president?

---

*Arthur Lupia is the Hal R. Varian Collegiate Professor of Political Science at the University of Michigan and Research Professor at its Institute for Social Research. He is a member of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences. His current research focuses on how voters, political elites, and scientific experts make decisions when they lack important information about consequences. He is APSA's Treasurer, chair of APSA's Trust and Development Committee, and a member of its Administrative Council.*

In this scenario, McCain would have won the popular vote by over 850,000 votes, but Obama would still be the forty-fourth president. Figure 1 and table 1 show why. Dark-hued (blue) states are those states won by the Democratic candidate in 2004 and in the alternate version of the 2008 election described previously. Medium-hued (red) states are those states won by the Republican candidate in both 2004 and in the alternate version of the 2008 election described previously. The lightest hued states are those won by Bush in 2004 and by Obama in the alternate version of the 2008 election.


Figure 1 and table 1 reveal that if Obama in 2008 had not earned a single vote more in any state than Kerry did in 2004, Obama would have won the Electoral College vote by a margin of 284 to 254. Obama would have won all of the states that Kerry won in 2004, plus Iowa, New Mexico, and Ohio. Table 2 contains all details relevant to this calculation.

If this result comes as a surprise, it is worth remembering that although Bush scored a substantial Electoral College victory in 2004 (286 to 251) and won the 2004 popular vote by more than three million votes, his actual margin of victory was much smaller. As table 1 shows, Bush won by very small margins in several states. Therefore, if slightly more than four out of every hundred people who voted for Bush in the state of Ohio in 2004 either voted for Obama or stayed home on Election Day in 2008 (this statistic is labeled  $\Delta S$ ), and if all other voters across the country voted for a candidate of the same party in 2008 as they did in 2004, Obama would still have been elected the nation's forty-fourth president.

But how many Bush 2004 voters failed to vote for McCain in 2008? Although the secret ballot prevents us from ever knowing for certain, we can use state-level election returns to determine the minimum number of such voters. As table 1 shows, a minimum of seven out of every hundred Bush voters in Ohio in 2004 (labeled  $\Delta R_{08-04}$ ) must have done something other than vote for McCain in 2008. These defections from the GOP candidate were sufficiently large to allow Obama to win Ohio (i.e.,  $|\Delta R_{08-04}| > |\Delta S|$ ) in the scenario described previously. Winning Ohio, in turn, was sufficient for Obama to win the Electoral College. Therefore,

Features: Did Bush Voters Cause Obama's Victory?

Figure 1  
Electoral Vote Allotment, by State



Note: See table 1 for further statistical information. Dark-hued (blue) states are states for which  $Obama_{k04} > \max(Bush_{04}, McCain_{08})$ . Medium-hued (red) states are states for which  $\min(Bush_{04}, McCain_{08}) > Obama_{k04}$ . Light-hued states are states for which  $Bush_{04} > Obama_{k04} > McCain_{08}$ . Figure created by Ramy S. Farid.

estimated national 23.6% rate of 2004 Bush voter defections is far greater than the percentage of defections that Obama needed to win Iowa, New Mexico, and Ohio (if he were otherwise able to retain Kerry's 2004 voters).<sup>2</sup>

Barack Obama's success in bringing millions of new voters to the polls was an impressive achievement. But these new voters were not a necessary condition for his victory. When considering whether or not to support John McCain in 2008, a number of Bush voters decided, "No We Can't." The number of 2004 Bush voters who decided to stay at home, or to support a Democrat, in 2008 did not grab the same kinds of headlines as new voter stories, but they were a sufficient condition for Obama's Electoral College victory. Hence, future presidential hopefuls' attempts to draw lessons from the 2008 campaign should focus not only on how the Obama campaign got so many new people to the polls,

but also on why so many people who voted for a Republican presidential candidate in 2004 chose to do something different in 2008. If 2008's new voters are less energized in 2012, the story of that election may turn on whether voters who once supported a Republican can be convinced to do so again. ■

Table 1  
Voting Statistics for Key States

STATE	OBAMA <sub>08</sub>	BUSH <sub>04</sub>	OBAMA <sub>k04</sub>	MCCAIN <sub>08</sub>	$\Delta R_{08-04}$	$\Delta S$
IA	828,940	751,957	741,898	682,379	-9.25	-1.34
NM	472,422	376,930	370,942	346,832	-7.99	-1.59
OH	2,887,114	2,858,727	2,739,952	2,647,538	-7.39	-4.15
U.S.	69,394,675	62,040,610	59,028,444	59,892,681	—	—

Note:  $Obama_{k04}$  is the number of Obama votes if Obama receives only Kerry's votes from 2004.  $Bush_{04}$ ,  $McCain_{08}$ , and  $Obama_{08}$  are votes earned by the respective candidates in the years referenced by the subscripts.  $\Delta R_{08-04}$  is the minimum possible percentage of Bush voters who failed to support McCain, given aggregate election statistics.  $\Delta S$  is the percentage of such defections that would be sufficient for an Obama victory.

Bush voters' decisions in Ohio were sufficient to cause Obama to win the election.

Estimates of individual-level voting behavior from survey data reinforce the role that Bush voters played in Obama's victory. The 2008 edition of the American National Election Studies Time Series (American National Election Studies 2008) asked over two thousand respondents to report whether and for whom they voted in the general elections of 2004 and 2008. Table 3 summarizes the result of this inquiry.<sup>1</sup> Nearly one in four respondents who self-identified as 2004 Bush voters did something other than vote for McCain in 2008. Fifteen percent of these Bush voters voted for Obama in 2008. Another 7% chose not to vote at all. This

NOTES

I thank James E. Alt, Craig Burnett, James N. Druckman, Ramy S. Farid, Elisabeth R. Gerber, Adam Seth Levine, Scott E. Page, Spencer Piston, Samuel L. Popkin, Markus Prior, and two anonymous referees for advice on a previous version of this manuscript.

1. These data are from the advance release of the 2008 ANES Time Series study. Respondent reports of 2004 voting behavior come from answers to pre-election wave question A7. Respondent reports of 2008 voting behavior come from answers to post-election wave question C8a. Decisions not to participate in the 2008 presidential election come from answers to post-election wave questions C1a, C1b3, and C6. Because the ANES contains substantial oversamples of African American and Latino populations, sample weights must be used to recover estimates for a nationally representative sample. Because my estimation includes responses from the post-election wave, I use the post-election sample weight (Vo80102). A replication file is available upon request.
2. McDonald (2008) reports that although overall turnout was up for the third consecutive presidential election, how and where turnout levels changed varied across states and demographic groups in important ways. Citing exit poll data, McDonald argues that many fewer respondents reported contact with the McCain campaign than with either the Bush campaign of 2004 or the Obama campaign of 2008. To the extent that such contacts increase voter turnout, a decision to limit contacts would fuel the frequencies of Bush voter defections that I observe in this study.

Table 2

## State-by-State Election Results for 2004 and 2008

STATE	OBAMA <sub>K04</sub>	MCCAIN <sub>08</sub>	OBAMA <sub>08</sub>	BUSH <sub>04</sub>	STATE	OBAMA <sub>K04</sub>	MCCAIN <sub>08</sub>	OBAMA <sub>08</sub>	BUSH <sub>04</sub>
AL	693,933	<b>1,266,546</b>	813,479	1,176,394	MT	173,710	<b>242,763</b>	231,667	266,063
AK	111,025	<b>193,841</b>	123,594	190,889	NE	254,328	<b>448,801</b>	329,132	512,814
AZ	893,524	<b>1,230,111</b>	1,034,707	1,104,294	NV	397,190	<b>412,827</b>	533,736	418,690
AR	470,230	<b>638,017</b>	422,310	573,182	NH	<b>340,511</b>	316,534	384,826	331,237
CA	<b>6,745,485</b>	5,011,161	8,273,972	5,509,826	NJ	<b>1,911,430</b>	1,613,207	2,215,422	1,670,003
CO	1,001,732	<b>1,073,584</b>	1,288,568	1,101,255	NM	<b>370,942</b>	346,832	472,422	376,930
CT	<b>857,488</b>	628,873	1,000,994	693,826	NY	<b>4,314,280</b>	2,742,298	4,769,700	2,962,567
DE	<b>200,152</b>	152,373	255,446	171,660	NC	1,525,849	<b>2,128,474</b>	2,142,651	1,961,166
DC	<b>202,970</b>	17,367	245,800	21,256	ND	111,052	<b>168,601</b>	141,278	196,651
FL	3,583,544	<b>4,045,624</b>	4,282,074	3,964,522	OH	<b>2,739,952</b>	2,647,538	2,887,114	2,858,727
GA	1,366,149	<b>2,048,744</b>	1,844,137	1,914,254	OK	503,966	<b>960,165</b>	502,496	959,792
HI	<b>231,708</b>	120,566	325,871	194,191	OR	<b>943,163</b>	738,475	1,037,291	866,831
ID	181,098	<b>403,012</b>	236,440	409,235	PA	<b>2,938,095</b>	2,651,812	3,276,363	2,793,847
IL	<b>2,891,989</b>	2,031,527	3,419,673	2,346,608	RI	<b>259,760</b>	165,391	296,571	169,046
IN	969,011	<b>1,345,648</b>	1,374,039	1,479,438	SC	661,699	<b>1,034,896</b>	862,449	937,974
IA	<b>741,898</b>	682,379	828,940	751,957	SD	149,244	<b>203,054</b>	170,924	232,584
KS	434,993	<b>699,655</b>	514,765	736,456	TN	1,036,477	<b>1,477,405</b>	1,085,720	1,384,375
KY	712,733	<b>1,048,462</b>	751,985	1,069,439	TX	2,832,704	<b>4,479,328</b>	3,528,633	4,526,917
LA	820,299	<b>1,148,275</b>	782,989	1,102,169	UT	241,199	<b>596,030</b>	327,670	663,742
ME	<b>396,842</b>	295,273	421,923	330,201	VT	<b>184,067</b>	98,974	219,262	121,180
MD	<b>1,334,493</b>	959,862	1,629,467	1,024,703	VA	1,454,742	<b>1,725,005</b>	1,959,532	1,716,959
MA	<b>1,803,800</b>	1,104,284	1,891,083	1,071,109	WA	<b>1,510,201</b>	1,229,216	1,750,848	1,304,894
MI	<b>2,479,183</b>	2,048,639	2,872,579	2,313,746	WV	326,541	<b>398,061</b>	304,127	423,778
MN	<b>1,445,014</b>	1,275,409	1,573,354	1,346,695	WI	<b>1,489,504</b>	1,262,393	1,677,211	1,478,120
MS	457,766	<b>724,597</b>	554,662	672,660	WY	70,776	<b>164,958</b>	82,868	167,629
MO	1,259,171	<b>1,445,814</b>	1,441,911	1,455,713	Total	59,028,444	59,892,681	69,394,675	62,040,610

Note: Obama<sub>K04</sub> is the number of Obama votes if Obama receives only Kerry's votes from 2004. Bush<sub>04</sub>, McCain<sub>08</sub>, and Obama<sub>08</sub> are votes earned by the candidates in the years referenced. Bold numbers represent the winner of a hypothetical contest between Obama<sub>K04</sub> and McCain<sub>08</sub>. 2004 totals are from final official records. 2008 totals are from current official records as of May 2009. Some states may further revise their final 2008 numbers by small amounts, but such changes will not be large enough affect the claims in this article. In 2008, Nebraska awarded two of its electoral votes to McCain and one to Obama based on a calculation of popular votes by Electoral College district. In my comparison, McCain wins all three districts, so district level numbers are suppressed.

Table 3

## Estimated Election Day 2008 Actions of Respondents Who Reported Voting for Bush in 2004

2008 VOTE	ESTIMATED %
McCain	76.4
Obama	15.3
Other candidate	1.3
Did not vote	7.0

## REFERENCES

- American National Election Studies (ANES). 2008. *The ANES 2008 Time Series Study*. Stanford University and the University of Michigan. <http://www.electionstudies.org/studypages/2008prepost/2008prepost.htm>.
- McDonald, Michael P. 2008. "The Return of the Voter: Voter Turnout in the 2008 Presidential Election." *The Forum* 6 (4). <http://www.bepress.com/forum/vol6/iss4/art4/>
- Weisman, Jonathan, and Laura Meckler. 2008. "Obama Sweeps to Historic Victory." *Wall Street Journal*, November 6, A1.